

#8  
LDJ  
3-5-04

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Cain

Application No.: 09/661,273

Filed: 9/13/2000

Title: System, Device & Method for Receiver Access  
Control in an Internet Television System

Attorney Docket No.: 2204/A50

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Group Art Unit: 2155

Examiner: Nguyen

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 02 2004

OFFICIAL

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.111

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action of December 2, 2003, entry of this response is respectfully requested:

REMARKS

Reconsideration and further examination is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-4, 8, 10-17, 21, 23-27, 31, 33-37, 41, 43-47, 51, and 53-55 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Garrity et al, U.S. Patent Number 6,230,205.

Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. The teaching or suggestion to make the claimed combination and the reasonable expectation of success must both be found in the prior art, and not based on applicant's disclosure. *In re Vaeck*, 947 F.2d 488, 20 USPQ2d 1438 (Fed. Cir. 1991). See MPEP § 2143 - § 2143.03 for decisions pertinent to each of these criteria.